IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Civil Misc.Writ Petition No.3074 (S/S) of 2001

Narain Ram

..... Petitioner

Versus

Engineer-in-Chief, Irrigation
Department, Dehradun and
four others.

..... Respondents

.....

Hon'ble P.C. Verma, J.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Standing Counsel for the State.

Now the controversy in the writ petition is confined to a short question relating to the payment of pension to the petitioner. The relief of payment of pension has been sought by amending the writ petition. According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner has put in the qualifying service of 28 years, which entitles him for the payment of pension.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that if he is entitled for pension in accordance with the rules, he shall be paid.

In view of this submission, I dispose of the writ petition with the direction that the authority concerned shall consider as to whether the petitioner is entitled for payment of pension as submitted by him and if so, let the pension be paid to the petitioner within a period of three months.

With the aforesaid observations, the petition is disposed of finally.

28.08.2003
P.Singh

(P.C. Verma, J.)